Transcriptional regulation of the cyclin D1 gene at a glance
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Regulated progression through the cell cycle requires sequential expression of a family of proteins called cyclins. Upon their induction, cyclins form complexes with specific cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), creating active holoenzymes that phosphorylate target proteins that are required for cell-cycle progression. Induction of the proto-oncogene cyclin D1, and its binding to CDK4 or CDK6, is a rate-limiting event during cell-cycle progression through G1 phase. Some studies suggest that cyclin D1 also has CDK-independent functions (reviewed by Fu et al., 2004).

In non-transformed cells, the cyclin D1 gene senses the mitogenic potential of the microenvironment during cell-cycle entry from quiescence because its induction requires coordinated signaling from the extracellular matrix (ECM) and soluble growth factors (Assoian and Klein, 2008). These controls can be lost during cellular transformation, and cyclin D1 is correspondingly overexpressed in a number of cancers, including those of the breast, liver, lung and brain (Gillett et al., 1996; Hall and Peters, 1996; Yamamoto et al., 2006; Molenaar et al., 2008; Sanchez-Mora et al., 2008). Conversely, repression of cyclin D1 gene expression is a hallmark of cell differentiation (James et al., 2006; Mejlvang et al., 2007; Takahashi et al., 2007). Since the first descriptions of the cyclin D1 promoter emerged ~15 years ago (Motokura and Arnold, 1993; Herber et al., 1994), many different transcription factors have been identified that directly bind to, or otherwise regulate, the cyclin D1 promoter (reviewed by Wang et al., 2004).

Cyclin D1 levels can be regulated transcriptionally and post-translationally (Musgrove, 2006), and here we examine the transcriptional control of the cyclin D1 gene. We have focused this Cell Science at a Glance poster article on the transcription factors and binding sites that are functional in intact cells as determined by luciferase-reporter assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), and refer readers to Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2004) for a review that includes in vitro studies using electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) as well as transcription factors, the binding sites for which remain unidentified. The poster that accompanies this text indicates the methods that have been used to implicate individual transcription-factor sequences in the regulation of cyclin D1 transcription. Many of these transcription-factor consensus sequences are regulated by multiple effector pathways, each of which has its own numerous upstream signaling molecules. We have highlighted only a few of the major signaling pathways that regulate each transcription-factor binding site. Wang et al. provide a thorough list of these upstream pathways (Wang et al., 2004). Finally, we have incorporated a bioinformatics-based, multi-species comparison of the cyclin D1 promoter, which allows us to distinguish between highly conserved and specialized regulatory mechanisms that control cyclin D1 gene expression.

Inducers of cyclin D1 gene transcription

Perhaps the best-studied activators of cyclin D1 gene transcription are mitogenic
growth factors. The mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) play a major role in mitogenic signaling, and the canonical Ras-Raf-MEK [MAPK and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)]-ERK pathway can stimulate expression of AP-1 transcription factors, including members of the Fos, Jun and activating transcription factor (ATF) families (Balmanno and Cook, 1999; Shaulian and Karin, 2001). The human cyclin D1 promoter contains a consensus AP-1 site, at –903 bp, which is regulated by Fos and Jun (Albanese et al., 1995; Shen et al., 2007). Jun can also form a complex with ATF2 to regulate the cyclic AMP-response element (CRE) (Sabbah et al., 1999; Castro-Rivera et al., 2001). ATF2 forms a complex with CRE-binding protein (CREB) and stimulates cyclin D1 promoter activity (Beier et al., 1999). ATF2-mediated cyclin D1 promoter induction can be stimulated by a number of growth-promoting agents, such as estrogen (Sabbah et al., 1999), hepatocyte growth factor (Recio and Merlino, 2002) and regenerating gene product (Reg) (Takasawa et al., 2006). In addition to regulating AP-1-dependent transcription, growth-factor-dependent Ras activation also promotes Sp1-mediated cyclin D1 gene expression – stimulation of neurons with nerve-growth factor promotes the formation of a transcription complex containing Sp1, p50 [a nuclear factor-xB (NF-xB) family member] and p107 (a pocket protein) (Marampon et al., 2008). The Sp1 consensus site can also bind the transcription factor B-Myb, resulting in cyclin D1 promoter activity (Bartusel et al., 2005).

Mitogen-stimulated Rac activity also induces cyclin D1 transcription, although the mechanism is not fully understood. In certain cellular contexts, Rac is upstream of NF-xB activity (Joyce et al., 1999), whereas in others, Rac and NF-xB signal through parallel pathways (Klein et al., 2007). Despite variances in mechanism, it is well established that both Rac and NF-xB are strong inducers of cyclin D1 gene expression (Gu et al., 1999; Hinz et al., 1999; Joyce et al., 1999; Matos and Jordan, 2005; Reddig et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2008). Furthermore, NF-xB activity can be enhanced by forming a complex with JunD in a phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (P13K)- and phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1)-dependent manner (Toulabi-Abed et al., 2008).

Similar to growth factors, cytokines also stimulate cyclin D1 gene expression. Cytokines bind cell-surface receptors and initiate signaling through the JAK-STAT pathway. Janus-activated kinase (JAK) binds to ligand-bound cytokine receptors, and phosphorylates cytoplasmic signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) transcription factors, causing their translocation to the nucleus. Cytokines, such as interleukin-3 and interleukin-6, stimulate cyclin D1 promoter activity via STAT3 and STAT5 (Matsumura et al., 1999; Mishra and Das, 2005; Leslie et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007; Gu et al., 2008).

In addition to these soluble factors, the ECM has a prominent role in regulating cyclin D1 gene expression (Asooian and Klein, 2008; Kothapalli et al., 2008). ECM proteins such as collagen, fibronectin and vitronectin activate focal adhesion kinase (FAK) upon integrin clustering. FAK activity can stimulate ERK signaling, leading to either AP-1- or Ets-B-mediated transcription (Renshaw et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2001). Additionally, FAK can activate the human cyclin D1 promoter by inducing the transcription factor Kruppel-like factor 8 (KLF8) (Zhao et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2003). ECM proteins also activate Rac and are required to couple Rac-GTP to its effectors (del Pozo et al., 2000). Hyaluronan, a widely distributed, non-proteinaceous component of the ECM, regulates the signaling of ERK and Rac to cyclin D1 through its receptor, CD44 (Kothapalli et al., 2008).

As a consequence of its proliferative potential, cyclin D1 is a crucial regulator of Wnt- and Notch-regulated organism development (Hsu et al., 2001; Pal and Khanna, 2006). When Wnt binds its receptor, Frizzled, β-catenin is released to translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, where it forms a complex with the ternary complex factor (TCF) and/or lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (LEF) transcription factors (Smalley and TCF, 1999) and stimulates cyclin D1 gene expression (Shutman et al., 1999; Tetsu and McCormick, 1999). β-catenin–TCF-mediated cyclin D1 gene transcription is further regulated by active Rac signaling (Esufali and Bapat, 2004), phosphorylation by protein kinase A (PKA) (Taurin et al., 2008), overexpression of the androgen receptor (Schweizer et al., 2008) and by forming a complex with AP-1 transcription factors (Toulabi et al., 2006). Proliferation and development are also regulated by Notch signaling through the activation of the CBF-1/suppressor of hairless/LAG-1 (CSL) transcription factor (Stahl et al., 2006). Interestingly, Notch-CSL signaling can also inhibit cyclin D1 induction, as described in the next section. The switch between inducer and repressor might be a result of the context-dependent recruitment of transcriptional co-regulators.

Several transcription factors stimulate cyclin D1 transcription either in a tissue-specific manner or via specialized stimulation. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 6 (HNF6) binds to the cyclin D1 promoter in the mouse liver (Tan et al., 2006). In the presence of Cu(I), the transcription factor Atx1 translocates to the nucleus and stimulates cyclin D1 gene expression (Itoh et al., 2008). Under hypoxic conditions, STAT5b is phosphorylated by JAK2, and expression of cyclin D1 mRNA is upregulated (Joung et al., 2005). Additionally, a number of transcription factors have been identified that induce cyclin D1 gene expression; however, the upstream signaling molecules that activate these pathways remain unknown. These transcription factors include KLF5 (Du et al., 2007), brain-specific homeobox/POU domain protein 3b (Brn-3b) (Budhram-Mahadeo et al., 2007) and DbpA (also called ZONAB) (Sourisseau et al., 2006).

Misregulation of cyclin D1 gene expression and increased proliferation are hallmarks of a number of proliferative diseases, including cancer and atherosclerosis. Activating mutations in any of these transcription factors or their upstream signaling pathways could conceivably cause constitutive cyclin D1 expression and contribute to disease progression. Additionally, several transcription factors have been reported to selectively stimulate cyclin D1 gene transcription in cancer. Bombesin is a 14-amino-acid peptide that has been used as a marker for gastric cancer and neuroblastoma. Treatment of prostate cells with bombesin activates the Ras-MAPK pathway, which in this case leads to an early growth response protein 1 (Egr1)–dependent (rather than AP-1–dependent; see above) stimulation of cyclin D1 gene transcription (Xiao et al., 2005). Serine/threonine-protein
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1 All numbering is based on the human genomic sequence (accession number NT_078088).
kinase 11 (LKB1) normally functions as a tumor-suppressor by upregulating p21 in a p53-dependent manner, but LKB1 sporadically acquires a gain-of-function mutation in some cancers, which allows it to bind to the cyclin D1 promoter and stimulate gene transcription (Scott et al., 2007). Finally, the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-encoded latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) is an oncogene that regulates the nuclear translocation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Nuclear EGFR binds the cyclin D1 promoter and promotes gene transcription (Tao et al., 2005).

The numerous transcription-factor binding sites in the cyclin D1 promoter, and the extensive list of signaling pathways that regulate these binding sites, allows for a wide variety of regulatory mechanisms that induce cyclin D1 gene expression under an array of cellular conditions. However, the regulation of cyclin D1 transcription is not limited to transcriptional activation – a number of repressor proteins bind to the cyclin D1 promoter to inhibit its transcription.

**Repressors of cyclin D1 gene transcription**

Repression of cyclin D1 is a hallmark of cell differentiation for certain cell lineages. Cell-type-specific transcriptional repressors have been identified that inhibit the cyclin D1 promoter. These include jumonji (Jmj; also known as JARID2), SMAD-interacting protein 1 (SIP1) and POU domain, class 2, transcription factor 1 (Oct-1). Neurogenesis in the mouse hindbrain requires cyclin D1 repression by Jmj, as mice that lack this protein have abnormal mitotic clusters in the mantle zone (Takahashi et al., 2007). Cardiac myocyte proliferation is also repressed by Jmj, which suggests a role for this protein in controlling cardiac morphogenesis (Toyoda et al., 2003).

Epithelial cells can undergo an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) via the expression of a number of transcription factors, including SIP1. In A431 epidermoid cells, SIP1 induces an EMT that displays the characteristic invasive phenotype, while also downregulating cyclin D1 mRNA expression (Mejlvang et al., 2007). Inhibition of cyclin D1 mRNA expression blocks S-phase entry in these cells. Although the overexpression of cyclin D1 rescues S-phase entry in SIP1-treated cells, it does not reduce cellular invasiveness (Mejlvang et al., 2007).

Oct-1 can function both as a cyclin D1 transcriptional repressor and inducer. Oct-1 is thought to repress cyclin D1 transcription because stimulation of mammary epithelial cells with prolactin induces cyclin D1 promoter activity by removing Oct-1 from the cyclin D1 promoter (Brockman and Schuler, 2005). Conversely, Oct-1 can form a complex with CREB to activate CRE-mediated cyclin D1 gene transcription (Boulon et al., 2002). In this context, Oct-1 does not bind directly to the cyclin D1 promoter, but acts as a transcriptional co-activator for CREB.

In addition to regulating cell differentiation, transcriptional repression of the cyclin D1 gene is crucial for maintaining cellular quiescence and preventing unwanted cell proliferation. One mechanism of maintaining quiescence in mammary epithelial cells is through yin and yang 1 (YY-1; also known as TYY1), which represses cyclin D1 by recruiting histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) to a TRE/Oct-1-binding site on the cyclin D1 promoter. Treatment of human breast cancer cells with laminin induces cyclin D1 promoter activity by displacing YY-1 and stimulating cyclin D1 gene transcription (Cicatiello et al., 2004).

A number of transcription factors have been characterized as tumor suppressors on the basis of their ability to inhibit cyclin D1 gene transcription and cell-cycle progression. These include CSL, ATF3, scaffold matrix-associated region-1 (SMAR1; also known as BANP), zona occludens 2 (ZO-2) and p53. In contrast to its role as a transcriptional activator, as described above, the expression of CSL downstream of Notch signaling also confers protection against cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma in a mouse model of skin cancer (Proweller et al., 2006). ATF3 is a stress-inducible member of the AP-1 family. ATF3 binds to the cyclin D1 promoter and represses its transcription, whereas knocking out ATF3 increases the growth rate and ability of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) to form colonies in soft agar (Lu et al., 2006). SMAR1 inhibits cyclin D1 gene transcription by recruiting a repressor complex, containing the HDAC-complex component Sin3, HDAC1, and the pocket proteins p107 and p130, to the cyclin D1 promoter (Rampalli et al., 2005). The proto-oncogene myc can form a complex with the tight-junction protein ZO-2. This complex inhibits cyclin D1 transcription via the E-box of the promoter (Huerta et al., 2007). Finally, p19ARF represses cyclin D1 gene expression in human mammary epithelial cells by recruiting p53 to a cis-acting element in the cyclin D1 promoter (D’Amico et al., 2004).

Knowledge of the diverse set of regulatory elements that are described in this Cell Science at a Glance poster article was generated using a large number of cell lines from various cell lineages and species. As a consequence, it remains unclear which transcription factors are global regulators of proliferation and differentiation and which are species specific. The acquisition of whole-genome sequences from a number of mammalian species allows us to use bioinformatic analysis to study the conservation of consensus regulatory sites on the cyclin D1 promoter.

**Multiple-species bioinformatic analysis of the cyclin D1 promoter**

Eto reported a multi-sequence analysis of the cyclin D1 promoter in 2000 (Eto, 2000). However, the recent accumulation of genomic sequence data combined with advances in bioinformatics tools for promoter analysis prompted us to update the multi-species alignment of the cyclin D1 promoter to identify conserved and species-specific regulatory elements. We performed an alignment of the human, chimp, mouse and zebrafish cyclin D1 promoters (accession numbers NT_078088, NW_001222300, NT_039437 and NW_001879279, respectively) using 3.8 kb of sequence upstream and 200 bp downstream of the transcription start sites as annotated in GenBank. The sequences were aligned using Mulan software (mulan.dcode.org) and the results were submitted to MultiTF (multitf.dcode.org) to identify conserved transcription-factor consensus sequences.

Evolutionarily conserved regions (ECRs) were defined as regions that were at least 70 bp long with a minimum of 70% homology. Similar to Eto (Eto, 2000), we found that mammalian promoters share a large number of ECRs. However, the zebrafish cyclin D1 promoter contains only one ECR immediately upstream of the transcription start site. Interestingly, this highly conserved region contains the TCF, CRE and NF-xB consensus sequences. The conservation of these binding sites suggests that they have a crucial and
fundamental role in cyclin D1 induction and cell proliferation.

Multi-species alignment also allowed us to determine which transcription factors might function in a species-specific manner. As shown in the accompanying poster, most promoter elements are conserved from mice to humans, yet there are notable exceptions. The human and chimp cyclin D1 promoters contain an E2F consensus site (TTTGGCCCGCG) at positions –95 and –94, respectively, and E2F1 can repress cyclin D1 gene expression in assays using human luciferase-promoter constructs (Watanebe et al., 1998). However, no homologous sequence exists in the mouse.

Multi-species promoter alignment can be a valuable tool for resolving mechanisms by which transcription factors affect promoter activity. For example, many studies have reported that AP-1 transcription factors regulate cyclin D1 gene expression, and the human and chimp cyclin D1 promoters contain a consensus AP-1 site (see above). However, our analysis of the human and mouse cyclin D1 promoters demonstrates that this site (TAGAGTC at position –903 in the human promoter) is not conserved in the mouse. In fact, the first conserved AP-1 site that we can identify (TAGAGTCA) is very far upstream of the transcription start site (~24 kb in the mouse promoter and ~53 kb in the human promoter). Eto used bioinformatic tools to identify a potential AP-1 site in the mouse promoter (position –795; TGTCCTCA) on the basis of its similarity to the consensus AP-1 site in the primate cyclin D1 promoter.

Perspectives

Research suggests that spans 15 years has revealed the extremely complex regulation of cyclin D1 transcription, presumably to maintain proper physiological quiescence and differentiation, and to allow for cellular proliferation upon appropriate extracellular stimulation. Modern molecular-biology tools combined with bioinformatic approaches using whole-genome sequences can help us understand the transcriptional regulation of the cyclin D1 gene in these physiological and pathological contexts. The high reliance of modern biomedical research on the mouse emphasizes the importance of recognizing these interspecies promoter differences during data interpretation and the need to use species-matched reagents (i.e. species-specific promoter constructs and cells) for studying non-conserved elements.
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